

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,636	04/14/2004	Marlene C. Schwarz	12013/47401 4614 EXAMINER	
23838 7:	590 05/15/2006			
KENYON & KENYON LLP			CAMERON, ERMA C	
1500 K STREE SUITE 700	ET N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>A</i> .	/	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
	10/823,636	SCHWARZ, MARLENE C.	SCHWARZ, MARLENE C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Erma Cameron	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	April 2006.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted or b) objected or b objected or b) objected or drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No seived in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection of Claims 2 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 4/28/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The rejection of Claims 1-8, 12-19 and 22-26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bhave et al (5861195) is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 4/28/2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,636 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castro et al (6616765).

'765 teaches applying a coating 10 of polymer, solvent and therapeutic substance (such as an anticoagulant) (12:45-67) to a stent or other prostheses 12 thru a dispensing assembly 22 that includes a nozzle 26 and an orifice 28 (6:16-35). The stent may be in a holder assembly 14 (7:12-35). Delivery of the coating may be passive or active (8:11-22). The orifice 28 can have a range of diameters and stream widths (8:1-20; 15:34-56). The dispenser assembly and prosthesis may move relative to each other, and the prosthesis may rotate (14:50-15:27). There may be more than one dispenser assembly (hence a first and second slide surface), and a second composition 80 may be applied over the first composition 10 (7:52-67; 17:61-19:18).

Although '765 does not describe the nozzle as a slide coating head, the coating material does slide down the inside of the nozzle 26 before reaching the prosthesis 12. Orifice 28 on the nozzle may be considered a slot. The nozzle 26 should not come into contact with the prosthesis 12 (7:52-67), thus leading to a free-fall of the coating 10 from the nozzle 26 onto the prosthesis 12, hence curtain coating. The upper and lower surfaces of the nozzle may be considered first

Art Unit: 1762

and second, or upper and lower plates. Wherever the coating 10 enters the dispenser 22 can be considered to be an inlet orifice.

7. The rejection of Claim 29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castro et al (6616765) taken in view of Lee or Valentini is withdrawn because of the cancellation of claim 29.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,636

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 10, 2006

Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762 Page 5